I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on _____ July 30, 2007

PATENT Attorney Docket No.: 16869P-083100US

Client Ref. No.: HAL-218/CIP

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Turn Hale

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Hiroki Ikeda

Application No.: 10/616,636

Filed: July 9, 2003

For: OPTICAL VIRTUAL LOCAL

AREA NETWORK

Customer No.: 20350

Confirmation No. 3416

Examiner:

Dalzid Singh

Technology Center/Art Unit: 2613

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DRAWING

INCONSISTENCY WITH

SPECIFICATION

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed July 5, 2007, please enter the following amendments and remarks:

Amendments to the Specification begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

Appl. No. 10/616,636 Amdt. dated July 30, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

Amendments to the Specification:

Please add the following <u>new</u> paragraph after paragraph [0045]:

-- FIG. 19 illustrates an exemplary vMAN scheme. --

Appl. No. 10/616,636 Amdt. dated July 30, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is in response to the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification mailed July 5, 2007. Claims 1-8 and 11-21 are allowed. Applicants respectfully request consideration of the amendment to the specification and issuance of the allowed application.

I. Inconsistency of Specification and Drawings

The Specification and Drawings are objected to for presenting a figure, in particular FIG. 19, which is not listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings. Applicants have amended the specification to include a Brief Description of FIG. 19. It is respectfully submitted that this description does not add new matter, as FIG. 19 is described in paragraph [0007] of the application as filed, and the newly added Brief Description complies therewith. It further is respectfully submitted that such description is supported by the specification as would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art in light of the specification. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the Specification and Drawings are consistent, and request that the application be allowed to issue as such.

Appl. No. 10/616,636 Amdt. dated July 30, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2007

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 925-472-5000.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason D. Lohr Reg. No. 48,163

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 925-472-5000 Fax: 415-576-0300

JDL:slh